

APR 15 2015

**FILED**

STATE OF MINNESOTA  
IN SUPREME COURT

A13-0519

In re Petition for Disciplinary Action against  
Randall D. B. Tigue, a Minnesota Attorney,  
Registration No. 110000.

ORDER

On March 12, 2014, we suspended respondent Randall D. B. Tigue from the practice of law for a minimum of 30 days. *In re Tigue*, 843 N.W.2d 583, 589 (Minn. 2014). We expressly stated that by March 12, 2015, respondent was required to file with the Clerk of Appellate Courts proof of successful completion of the professional responsibility portion of the state bar examination and that failure to do so would result in automatic re-suspension, pursuant to Rule 18(e)(3), Rules on Lawyers Professional Responsibility (RLPR). *Tigue*, 843 N.W.2d at 589-90.

We reinstated respondent on April 28, 2014. *In re Tigue*, 845 N.W.2d 761, 762 (Minn. 2014) (order). Our order reinstating Tigue repeated the requirement that, by March 12, 2015, respondent had to file with the Clerk of Appellate Courts proof of successful completion of the professional responsibility portion of the state bar examination and that failure to do so would result in automatic re-suspension, pending successful completion of the examination, pursuant to Rule 18(e)(3), RLPR. *Tigue*, 845 N.W.2d at 762.

Rule 18(e)(3), RLPR, provides that, unless waived by this court, a lawyer who has been suspended for 90 days or less “must, within one year from the date of the suspension order, successfully complete such written examination” for the professional responsibility portion of the state bar examination. “Except upon motion and for good cause shown, failure to successfully complete this examination shall result in automatic suspension of the lawyer effective one year after the date of the original suspension order.” *Id.*

Respondent did not provide this court with proof by March 12, 2015, that he successfully passed the professional responsibility portion of the state bar examination. In response to an order directing respondent to provide proof of cause why he should not be immediately suspended, respondent states that he took the professional responsibility portion of the state bar examination on March 28, 2015, and expects to receive a passing score. Respondent asks the court to give him additional time to provide proof that he has successfully completed the professional responsibility portion of the state bar examination by waiting to issue any suspension order until after the March 2015 test results are available. The Director of the Office of Lawyers Professional Responsibility has not responded to respondent’s affidavit.

Based upon all the files, records, and proceedings herein,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the motion of respondent Randall D. B. Tigue for additional time in which to provide proof of his successful completion of the professional responsibility portion of the state bar examination is denied. Respondent’s conditional reinstatement is revoked and he is indefinitely suspended, effective 10 days from the date

of the filing of this order. Respondent shall comply with Rule 26, RLPR (requiring notice of suspension to clients, opposing counsel, and tribunals). Respondent may apply for reinstatement under Rule 18(f), RLPR, by filing with the Clerk of Appellate Courts and the Director of the Office of Lawyers Professional Responsibility proof that he has successfully completed the professional responsibility portion of the state bar examination.

Dated: April 15, 2015

BY THE COURT:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Alan C. Page", is written over a horizontal line.

Alan C. Page  
Associate Justice