

STATE OF MINNESOTA
IN SUPREME COURT
A11-1640



In re Petition for Disciplinary Action against
Travis D. Stottler, a Minnesota Attorney,
Registration No. 305728.

O R D E R

In September 2011, the Director of the Office of Lawyers Professional Responsibility filed a petition for disciplinary action alleging that respondent Travis D. Stottler committed professional misconduct warranting public discipline, namely, failing to diligently pursue a client matter, failing to communicate with the client and her successor counsel, failing to cooperate with the Director's investigation, and continuing to practice after being placed on involuntary CLE-restricted status. The Director also filed an application for suspension under Rule 12(c), Rules on Lawyers Professional Responsibility (RLPR), based on evidence that respondent could not be found in Minnesota to respond to the petition for disciplinary action. On October 14, 2011, the court suspended Stottler under Rule 12(c), RLPR.

On February 8, 2013, the Director filed a supplementary petition for disciplinary action alleging that Stottler committed additional misconduct warranting public discipline, namely, misappropriating client funds, failing to communicate with two clients, making misrepresentations to two clients, neglecting a client matter, failing to

return unearned fees, and practicing law while on CLE-restricted status. The Director also filed an application for continued suspension under Rule 12(c), RLPR, based on evidence that respondent cannot be found in Minnesota to respond to the supplementary petition for disciplinary action.

Based upon all the files, records, and proceedings herein,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the suspension of respondent Travis D. Stottler is continued. Within one year from the date of this order, respondent may move this court for vacation of the order of suspension and for leave to answer the supplementary petition for disciplinary action. If respondent fails to appear in this matter within one year of the date of filing of this order, the allegations in the supplementary petition for disciplinary action shall be deemed admitted.

Dated: March 13, 2013

BY THE COURT:

/s/

Alan C. Page
Associate Justice